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ABSTRACT

Background: Urolithiasis is a worldwide problem due to its high prevalence and recurrence. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy is a minimally invasive surgical option for the treatment of large renal stone burden greater than 20 mm, staghorn calculi and lower pole calyceal stone greater than 10 mm. The objective of this study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in the management of lower pole calyceal stones.

Methods: Seventy patients who presented in between June 2013 and September 2017 with lower pole calyceal stones and lower calyceal stones with pelvic extension were included in the study. The operating time, the hospital stay, complications rate, stone clearance rate were all noted. Patients were followed up in three and six weeks with X-ray KUB and ultrasonography of abdomen.

Results: Seventy adult patients with lower pole calyceal stones underwent standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy. The mean age was 32 years (18-71 yrs). The mean stone size was 17.6 mm (15 – 28 mm). The mean operating time was 62 minutes (48-124 mins) and hospital stay was 4.1 days (4-8 days). The stone clearance rate was 92.6% for stone <20mm and 90.7% stone size >20 mm. The complications noted were fever (8.5%), transient haematuria (20%), urine leak (5.7%), obstruction by residual fragments (5.7%) and one pseudoaneurysm (1.42%). Seven patients (10%) needed blood transfusion.

Conclusions: Percutaneous nephrolithotomy is a safe, feasible and highly effective method for the treatment of lower pole calyceal stones.
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INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis makes significant health concern for large population. Lower pole stones make approximately 25-30% of renal stones bulk. The incidence of renal stones is increasing but the ideal treatment of lower pole stone remains controversial regarding extracorporeal lithotripsy (ESWL), percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) and flexible ureteroscopy (RIRS). The effectiveness of these techniques are determined by size, composition of stone and spatial anatomy of lower pole. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy is effective and is preferred to ESWL for stones larger than 20 mm. The success of ESWL is related to size and composition of stone and anatomic features of lower pole. Flexible ureteroscopy can be considered for stones less than 20 mm in obese patients with complicated intra-renal anatomy and hard stones. This study has been conducted to evaluate the efficacy and safety of PCNL in the management of lower pole stones.

METHODS

This was a prospective observational study. Seventy adult patients treated with PCNL in between June 2013 and September 2017 for lower calyceal stones and stones in lower calyx with pelvic extension were studied in the Department of Urosurgery, Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital. The patients with stones in other calyces of the kidney including only pelvic, upper calyceal, upper ureteral and complete staghorn calculus were excluded from the study. The treatment modalities of ESWL and RIRS were not available in our institute. These options were well explained and offered to the patients before we proceeded with PCNL. Mini-PCNL was also not available in our centre at that time. Ethical approval was taken from the ethical committee and the written informed consent was taken from all patients.

All the patients were evaluated with complete urine analysis, urine culture and sensitivity, complete haemogram, coagulation profile, renal function tests, ultrasonography of abdomen, intravenous urography. Positive cultures were treated with antibiotics. All patients were admitted one day before the procedure and prophylactic intravenous antibiotic was started on
the same day of surgery at the time of induction of general anaesthesia.

Standard PCNL was performed in prone position for all patients under general anesthesia by the consultant. After insertion of 6 Fr. ureteral catheter and delineation of pelvicalyceal system with contrast media, lower calyx and/or middle calyx puncture was done under fluoroscopic guidance with 18G angiography needle and Terumo guide wire (0.035", 150cm) inserted advancing to the calyx, renal pelvis and secured to the ureter. Acute dilatation of tract was done up to 22 Fr with metallic coaxial dilators. Then nephrolithotripsy was performed with 20Fr nephroscope (Wolf) and pneumatic lithotripter (Nidhi).

All the stone fragments were removed by grasping forceps. A 20 Fr nephrostomy tube was inserted after antegrade placement of 6 Fr double J stent as the standard practice of conventional PCNL. Mini-PCNL was not available in our institute at the time of this study. The operating time, complications rate, hospital stay, stone clearance rate were all recorded. The patients with residual fragments less than 4 mm were considered stone free. Ultrasonography of abdomen and pelvis was done to measure the size of these stones. Twelve patients had undergone open surgery before. The mean operating time from puncture to completion of procedure was 62 minutes (48-124 min). Stone removal was successful through lower pole puncture in 48 patients and through middle calyx puncture in 22 patients. The stone free rate for stone larger than 2 cm was 90.7% and for stone less than 2 cm, it was 92.6%. The patients with residual stones were later treated with ESWL.

The complications encountered were mostly of Clavien grade 2. One patient (1.42%) presented with massive haematuria on eleventh post-operative day and was found to have pseudoaneurysm (Clavien grade 3b) for which coil angiobezolization was done. Transient haematuria was seen in 14(20%) patients. However, seven patients(10%) needed blood transfusion. The preoperative mean haemoglobin level of these seven patients was 10.1 gm% which dropped to mean of 8.0 gm%. Blood transfusion was done on the following day after haemoglobin report and after clinical assessment of these patients. With mini-PCNL, the transfusion rate could be expected to decrease. However the mean postoperative haemoglobin drop after 24 hours was only 0.76 gm% of the average haemoglobin of 12.4gm%. Fever was seen in 6(8.5%) patients and was managed with antibiotics according to the urine culture report and DJ stent was removed at their earliest. Four patients had prolonged urine leak after removal of nephrostomy tube. In eight patients(11.4%), clinically insignificant residual fragments of stones <4 mm were seen. In four patients(5.7%), there was obstruction of kidney by these small stones after removal of DJ stent. They were found to pass these fragments spontaneously in next follow up.

Mean hospitalization was 4.1 days (4-8 days). In six weeks follow up, the stone free rate was 92.6% for stone size < 20mm and 90.7% for stone > 20 mm.

| Table 1. Demographic variables of patients. |
|------------------|------------------|
| **Total**        | **Number of patients(%)** |
| Sex              |                      |
| Male             | 42(60%)             |
| Female           | 28(40%)             |
| Site of stone    |                      |
| right side       | 34(48.5%)           |
| left side        | 36(51.5%)           |
| Mean age (Years) | 32(18-71)           |
| Mean stone size mm | 17.6                |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stone size range mm</th>
<th>15-28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2. Outcome analysis of peroperative and postoperative variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stone size</th>
<th>Number of patients(%)</th>
<th>Stone free rate</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-20mm</td>
<td>27(38.5%)</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20mm</td>
<td>43(61.5%)</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancillary procedure(ESWL)</th>
<th>6(8.5%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation time mean, mins</td>
<td>62(48-124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization (mean ,days )</td>
<td>4.1(4-8 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transient haemorrhage</td>
<td>14(20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>6(8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>4(5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>7(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine leak</td>
<td>4(5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoaneurysm</td>
<td>1(1.42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Despite the significant amount of data reported, the indications of PCNL, ESWL and recently flexible ureteroscopy (RIRS) for the treatment of lower calyceal stone still remain unsettled. ESWL is usually the first choice of treatment by majority of patients because of good patient tolerance, low complication rate, low cost and less hospital stay. But however, it is associated with low success rate and higher retreatment is required. The infundibulopelvic angle, infundibular length and width are major anatomical factors in the stone clearance in ESWL. On the contrary, PCNL is not affected by any of these factors. RIRS with newer digital flexible ureteroscopes is being preferred as treatment modality for low volume renal stone diseases recently. But the success rate of flexible ureteroscopy is significantly low in unfavourable anatomical conditions such as long and narrow lower calyceal infundibulum and acute infundibulopelvic angle.

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy constitutes the first line therapy for large renal stone burden including renal calculi greater than 20 mm, lower pole calyceal stones greater than 10 mm and upper ureteral stones. It has been proved to be a less morbid procedure compared to open stone surgery and better stone clearance than ESWL. The recent guidelines of European Association of Urology (EAU) also recommend PCNL for lower pole calyceal stones >1.5 cm. For smaller lower pole calyceal stones, ESWL is recommended if lithotripsy resistant hard stones such as brushite and cystine stones, long and narrow infundibulum, are absent. PCNL is otherwise considered a good and reasonable alternative. In this study, however, the outcome of PCNL in the management of lower calyceal stone has been reported and compared to the reports of other methods.

Since the introduction of PCNL in 1976 by Fernstrom and Johansson, with the marked improvement in techniques and instruments, PCNL is being used for the treatment of renal stone diseases with highest stone free rate. Despite its slight invasiveness, of all the treatment options, PCNL delivers the stone free rate more than 90% for lower pole stones >20mm.

In the present study, the overall stone free rate for PCNL in six weeks was 92.6% for stone size less than 20mm and 90.7% for stone size >20mm which was comparable to the stone free rate in the series by Netto et al and Cass AS. The study also showed that the stone free rate in relation to stone size was not statistically significant (P value 0.78). In the multicentric prospective randomised trial by Albala et al, it was also found that stone free rate in PCNL for lower pole stones was not dependent on the size of stones. Six patients (8.5%) needed ancillary procedure in the form of ESWL for the stone clearance. In the study by Haroon et al, six patients (10.6%) needed ancillary procedures (five ESWL and one Double J placement). Similarly the study by Pardalidis et al and Havel and colleagues showed stone free rate for stone >20mm to be 93.7%.

In our study, the complications encountered were mostly of Clavien grade 2. One patient (1.42%) presented with massive haematuria on eleventh day due to pseudoaneurysm for which coil angioembolization was successfully done. The other complications included fever in six (8.5%), ureteral obstruction in four (5.7%) patients. Seven patients (10%) needed blood transfusion which was done on the second postoperative day after haemoglobin report as well as after clinical assessment of these patients. The blood transfusion rate could have been lowered with Mini-PCNL. In four patients (5.7%), prolonged urine leak up to fourth day after removal of nephrostomy tube was seen. The overall complications rate in the study by Haroon et al was 19% including one urosepsis and one pseudoaneurysm requiring angioembolization. In the UK Health Statistics database reviewed for more than 5700 patients undergoing PCNL over the period of six years, the complications of haemorrhage (1.4%), urinary tract infection (3.8%), fever (0.7%), and 30-day readmission (9%) were seen. Similarly, Pan et al reported the overall complications rate of 11.86% in the series of 107 patients by Raut.
NK et al, the complications of urinary tract infection were seen in 12.1%, urine leak in 4.6%, urosepsis in 1% and uncomplicated fever in 71%. The overall stone free rate was 85.98% in their series. Thirteen patients with residual stones underwent ESWL while relook PCNL was done in one patient and ureteroscopy in one patient.20

In our study, the mean operative time was 62 minutes and the mean hospital stay was 4.1 days. The operative time and hospital stay was comparable with the findings of the series by Pan et al.29 However, the hospital stay was comparatively much shorter in few other studies.30,31 In our study, we admitted all the patients one day before surgery and the nephrostomy tube drain was removed on the third postoperative day in those patients with significant intraoperative bleeding and suspected breach of pelviccalyceal system.

The limitation of our study is that the Hounsfield measurement to assess the density of the stones was lacking and therefore the density was not measured. We followed our patients with X-ray KUB and ultrasonography in the postoperative period which might have lowered our ability to detect the residual fragments. According to the study by Denstedt et al, up to 35% of the residual stones can be missed in plain film.32 A CT scan is the most sensitive method to detect the residual fragments.34

CONCLUSIONS

PCNL is a safe, feasible and highly effective method for the treatment of lower pole calyceal stones.
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